was changing the numeral 25 (entry) to the numeral 23 (pool water). The reference character "18" properly refers to "telescoping leg sections". "Air chamber float 30" is properly labeled in the drawings and in the specification.

The claims have been rejected on the basis of 35 U.S.C. §112. The discrepancies between the specification and the drawings is discussed above and it is believed that the rejection should be withdrawn.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. !)#(a) as being unpatentable over Spiegel (U.S. Patent Number 5,551,290 in view of Maresca, Jr. et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,446,560. Since the Examiner has rejected the claims on the basis of Applicant's prior patent it is assumed that the rejection is based on "Double Patenting". Attached is a "Terminal Disclaimer to Obviate a Double patenting Rejection Over a Prior Patent". In view of the Terminal Disclosure, the Maresca, Jr. et al reference is not applicable and should be withdrawn since it is totally unrelated to Applicant's invention. An adjustable foot 30 disclosed by Maresca, Jr. et al, could not support Applicant's invention.

No new matter has been added.

The prior art made of record and not applied have been noted.

In view of the above, It is respectfully requested that the objections and rejection should be removed. If any

additional information is needed, please call the undersigned at (941) 637-1970.

Respectfully submitted,

Frank O Luhant

Frank A. Lukasik

Attorney of Record

1250 West Marion Avenue, #142

Punta Gorda, FL 33950